


Last time: - Defined pseudo-diff operators $Op(a)$
for some "differential operator" $a(x, \xi)$

where ξ viewed as "differential symbol", x as variable
for the coef of the operator

$$(Op(a)f)(x) = \int a(x, \xi) \underbrace{\hat{f}(\xi)}_{\text{differentiate}} e^{-i\xi x} d\xi.$$

e.g: $a = -i\xi$ \Rightarrow $a \hat{f} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} f\right)(\xi) \Rightarrow Op(a)f = \frac{d}{dx} f$

- Describe conditions for $Op(a)$ to approximate an operator that localise both $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and \hat{f} .

(can only be approximation because f and \hat{f} cannot be both localised) Last time $Op(a)f$ is localised but

$Op(a)f$ is new 0 far away from some interval.

- a smooth approx of $\chi_{I \times J}$ where I, J closed intervals in \mathbb{R}
- Length $l(I) l(J) \gg 1$ for $Op(a)$ to be a projection.

Today: - Discuss decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ using pseudo diff operators.

§3.4 3.5 - Make sense of what is a precisely Venkatesh notes

Now, $\mathbb{R}^2 = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_i$ where $\mathcal{O}_i =$ very large rectangle $I_i \times J_i$.

$$\text{then } \text{Id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \text{Op}(1) = \text{Op}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{\mathcal{O}_i}\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\approx \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \underbrace{\text{Op}(a_i)}_{\approx \text{projection operator}} \text{ where } a_i \text{ smooth approx of } \chi_{\mathcal{O}_i}$$

- Let $V_i := \text{Im}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \text{Op}(a_i)$ (viewed approximately as functions f supported on I_i and \hat{f} supported on J_i)

$$\text{then from (1), } L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i$$

In fact, $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i$ because $\text{Op}(a_i)$ are projection operators.

Indeed, since $\text{Op}(a_i): L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ projection, we have $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = V_i \oplus \underbrace{\left(1 - \text{Op}(a_i)\right)}_{\sum_{j \neq i} \text{Op}(a_j)} L^2(\mathbb{R})$

So if we have $f \in V_i \cap V_j$ then $f = 0 + f = f + 0$, a contradiction to above decomposition. \square

- Thus, we have a decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that is very geometric, i.e. it is based from partitioning \mathbb{R}^2 into

rectangles !!

- In fact, the $\dim V_i$ is related to trace of $Op(a_i)$.

Claim: $Tr(Op(a_i)) = \int_{\mathcal{O}_i} a(x, \xi) dx d\xi \approx \text{Area}(\mathcal{O}_i)$.

Proof: Assume that f is Schwartz $\subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}(Op(a_i)f)(x) &= \int a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-i x \xi} d\xi \\ &= \int a(x, \xi) \left(\int e^{i y \xi} f(y) dy \right) e^{-i x \xi} d\xi \\ &= \iint \underbrace{a(x, \xi) e^{i(y-x)\xi}}_{K(x, y) \text{ kernel}} d\xi f(y) dy\end{aligned}$$

If $Op(a)$ is of trace class (ie. $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ Hilbert \rightarrow orthonormal basis $\{e^{i y \xi}\} \rightarrow$ trace)

So trace of an integral kernel

$$\text{is } Tr(Op(a)) = \int K(x, x) dx = \int a(x, \xi) dx d\xi \approx \text{Area}(\mathcal{O}_i)$$

because $a_i \approx \chi_{\mathcal{O}_i}$

What symbols a are allowed to make sense of $Op(a)Op(b) = Op(ab + i\partial_\xi a \partial_x b + \dots)$?

Answer: $a \in S_m =$ "diff operators of order $\leq m$ with bounded coeff".

$$\hookrightarrow \sup_{\eta, \xi} \frac{|\partial_x^i \partial_\xi^j a(x, \xi)|}{(1 + |\xi|)^{m-j}} \leq \text{const}(i, j) \quad \forall i, j \geq 0$$

Fact: 1) If $a \in S_m, b \in S_{m'}$

then $\exists c \in S_{m+m'}$ so $\text{Op}(a)\text{Op}(b) = \text{Op}(c)$.

$$\exists c \approx \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^N}{N!} \partial_\xi^N a \partial_x^N b \text{ for large } w.$$

Remark: The theory is not symmetric in the sense that it doesn't work if \mathbb{R}^2 is partitioned into rotated rectangles, for example.

§4 of Venkatesh's lectures

Next task: Using orbit method, $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ should

be a rep of some group H so that the corresponding co-adjoint orbit of H is \mathbb{R}^2 .

- And the Kirillov character formula should correspond to $\text{Tr}(\text{Op}(a)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a(x, \xi) dx d\xi$
- The decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and of \mathbb{R}^2 reflect our speculation.

Q: What is H then? \rightarrow suggests that localised functions (i.e. those in V_i) should be roughly eigenvectors under the action of H .

• \hat{f} being localised is equivalent to $\hat{f} \approx e^{i\phi(x)}$? Why is this true?

\Rightarrow \mathcal{H} should contain translation operator \searrow

$$(\tau_y f)(t) = f(t+y).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tau_y f)(t) &= e^{i\delta(t+y)} \\
 &= e^{i\delta y} f(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Take the Fourier transform of the above to get that \mathcal{H} should also contain multiplication operator \curvearrowright

$$(m_y f)(t) = e^{i\delta y} f(t).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{(\tau_y f)}(\omega) &= \tau_y(\widehat{f})(\omega) = \widehat{f}(\omega+y) \\
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i(\omega+y-s)z} dz &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i(\omega+s-z)z} dz \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i(\omega+s)z} e^{2\pi i z^2} dz
 \end{aligned}$$

$f = \delta$ \swarrow $\widehat{f} = e^{i\delta t}$

$\delta(y+t-s)$